Rebuttal Table (RT)

A "comment/rebuttal document" for the Indian Journal of Science and Technology (IndJST/IJST) is a new document where authors respond point-by-point as the feedback to the comments provided by reviewers; It is a detailed explanation of how the authors have addressed the reviewers' comments for the improvement of the manuscript and how the authors agree or disagree in the course of improvement of the manuscript in line of the reviewers' suggestion. Akin to revised manuscript, RT is prepared newly in tune with the subsequent Reviewers' comments.

• RT:

Typically, the Table document will directly copy and paste each reviewer comment, followed by a detailed response from the authors explaining how they have addressed the issue raised.

Comments of the	Your opinion	
Reviewers	(agreeing/	
	disagreeing-how?)	
Rev 1 comments		
(copy and		
paste the full/		
abridged comments)		
(copy and		
paste the full/		
abridged comments)		
(copy and		
paste the full/		
abridged comments)		
Rev. 2 comments		
(copy and		
paste the full/		
abridged comments)		
(copy and		
paste the full/		
abridged comments)		

Address all the comments/ concerns of the reviewer(s)

For each reviewer comment, provide a detailed explanation of how the manuscript has been revised to address the concern. Provide point-by-point response.

• Specificity with Clarity:

Identify the specific changes made in the manuscript, including page numbers and line numbers to demonstrate **where/how** such changes were effected in the manuscript file.

• Concise, specific and focused:

Avoid lengthy explanations; address to the key points or essence of the concern raised by the reviewers.

• Your opinion:

If there are comments/aspects of the review that cannot be fully addressed, provide a clear justification for the decision made.

What to include in a rebuttal document:

Proofread carefully:

Ensure the changes made in the manuscript free from grammatical errors and accurately reflects the changes jotted under the RT.

• Appreciate and acknowledge reviewers' comments:

The reviewers are the backbone of quality publication of research outcomes; their precious time and feedback deserve appreciation as they are intend to improve the quality of your manuscript.